## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MARY RIV	/ARD,		
	Plaintiff(s)	0	IVIL ACTION
	V.	C	IVIL ACTION
H∪WE DE	EDOT II S A INC	N	O. <u>04-40247-FDS</u>
ITOWIL DE	<u>POT U.S.A., INC.,</u> Defendant(s)		
	<u>REPORT RE: REFERI</u> <u>ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE</u>		
	TO JUDGE <u>SA</u> Y	<u>rlor</u>	
SWARTW	/OOD, C.M.J		
On <u>Janua</u>	ary 27, 2006 I held the following ADR proceeding:		
	EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION X M	EDIATION	
	MINI-TRIAL SU	JMMARY JURY TR	IAL
	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE		
All parties	s were represented by counsel [except	]	
The partie	es were present in person or by authorized corporate	officer [except	].
The case v	was:		
[x ] S	Settled. Your clerk should enter a <u>45</u> day order of di	smissal.	
[ ] T	] There was progress. A further conference has been scheduled for unless		
r	reported settled prior to that date.		
[ ] F	Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case		
;	should be restored to your trial list.		
[] 9	ggested strategy to facilitate settlement:		
_			
_			
January 2		s/Charles B. Swart	
		CHARLES B. SWAR	TWOOD, III HEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
		MILLO OTATES OF	ILL WAGIOTIATE JUDGE
adrrnt -	. 01/96)		[adrrpt ]